1 2 3	JOHN R. MANNING Attorney at Law 4005 Manzanita Ave, Ste. 6-8 Carmichael, CA 95608 (916) 444-3994 jmanninglaw@yahoo.com		
5	Attorney for Defendant Carlos Lee Sanchez, Jr.		
6			
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9 10 11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 2:24-CR-0281-DC STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;	
12	v.	[PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER	
13 14	RICKY LEE WARE, KIMBERLY ANN WALLACE and CARLOS LEE SANCHEZ, Jr.	DATE: September 12, 2025 TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Dena M. Coggins	
15			
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	The United States of America through its undersigned counsel, Nicholas Fogg, Assistant United		
19	States Attorney, together with counsel for defendant Ricky Lee Ware, Linda Parisi, Esq., counsel for		
20	defendant Kimberly Ann Wallace, Candice L. Fields, Esq., and, counsel for defendant Carlos Lee		
21	Sanchez, Jr., John R. Manning, hereby stipulate the following:		
22	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status conference on September 12, 2025, at 9:30		
23	a.m.		
24	2. By this stipulation, the parties now move to continue the matter until December 5, 2025, at		
25	9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between September 12, 2025, and December 5, 2025, under 18		
26	U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] to allow defense counsel time to prepare. The		
27	parties agree and stipulate, and request the Court find the following:		
28	a) The government has produced (and is continuing to produce) discovery in this		

19

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

matter. (The most recent production was July 3, 2025.) To date, the government has produced 52,384 pages of investigative reports, photographs and video surveillance evidence and 3000 native files. However, the noted volume of discovery does not include the most recent production as that production includes information taken from a seized phone (allegedly belonging to Mr. Sanchez) and is extensive. (Each defense counsel was provided discovery related to device extractions from electronic devices allegedly belong to their, respective, client's. As noted, this discovery is voluminous and in addition to the volume of discovery noted in this paragraph.)

- b) Counsel for defendants have met with their clients to discuss their respective cases. Defense counsel desire additional time to conduct investigation into the charges, the alleged roles of their respective clients, and to review discovery in this case. Defense counsel will need additional time to discuss potential resolutions with their clients, prepare pretrial motions, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the e) case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of September 12, 2025 to December 5, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.\(\} 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which

1	a trial must commence.	
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
3		
4	Dated: September 8, 2025	/s/ LINDA PARISI
5		LINDA PARISI Counsel for Defendant
6		RICKY LEE WARE
7		
8	Dated: September 8, 2025	/s/ CANDICE L. FIELDS CANDICE L. FIELDS
9		Counsel for Defendant
10		KIMBERLY ANN WALLACE
11	Dated: September 8, 2025	/s/ JOHN R. MANNING
12		JOHN R. MANNING Counsel for Defendant
13		CARLOS LEE SANCHEZ, Jr
14	Dated: September 8, 2025	ERIC GRANT
15	2 4,004 2 4,004 3, 2020	United States Attorney
16		/s/ NICHOLAS M. FOGG NICHOLAS M. FOGG
17		Assistant United States Attorney
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
40		

ORDER

The court, having received, read and considered the parties' stipulation and good cause appearing therefrom, APPROVES the parties' stipulation. Accordingly, the Status Conference scheduled for September 12, 2025, is VACATED and RESET for December 5, 2025, at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom 10 before the Honorable Dena M. Coggins. The time period between September 12, 2025 and December 5, 2025 inclusive, is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), and B(iv) [Local Code T4], as the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 9, 2025

Dena Coggins \

United States District Judge